

SIMILARITY AND CONSIMILARITY OF ELEMENTS IN REAL CAYLEY-DICKSON ALGEBRAS

Yongge Tian

Department of Mathematics and Statistics

Queen's University

Kingston, Ontario, Canada K7L 3N6

e-mail: ytian@mast.queensu.ca

February 7, 2008

Abstract. Similarity and consimilarity of elements in the real quaternion, octonion, and sedenion algebras, as well as in the general real Cayley-Dickson algebras are considered by solving the two fundamental equations $ax = xb$ and $ax = \bar{x}b$ in these algebras. Some consequences are also presented.

AMS mathematics subject classifications: 17A05, 17A35.

Key words: quaternions, octonions, sedenions, Cayley-Dickson algebras, equations, similarity, consimilarity.

1. Introduction

We consider in the article how to establish the concepts of similarity and consimilarity for elements in the real quaternion, octonion and sedenion algebras, as well as in the 2^n -dimensional real Cayley-Dickson algebras. This consideration is motivated by some recent work on eigenvalues and eigenvectors, as well as similarity of matrices over the real quaternion and octonion algebras (see [5] and [18]). In order to establish a set of complete theory on eigenvalues and eigenvectors, as well as similarity of matrices over the quaternion, octonion, sedenion algebras, as well as the general real Cayley-Dickson algebras, one must first consider a basic problem—how to characterize similarity of elements in these algebras, which leads us to the work in this article.

Throughout $\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{O}$, and $\mathcal{S}$ denote the real quaternion, octonion, and sedenion algebras, respectively; $\mathcal{A}_n$ denotes the 2^n -dimensional real Cayley-Dickson algebra, $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ denote the real and complex number fields, respectively. It is well known that $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{A}_3 = \mathcal{O}$, and $\mathcal{A}_4 = \mathcal{S}$.

As is well-known, the real quaternion algebra $\mathcal{H}$ is a four-dimensional associative division algebra over the real number field $\mathcal{R}$ with its basis $1, i, j, k$ satisfying the multiplication rules $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$, $ij = -ji = k$, $jk = -kj = i$, $ki = -ik = j$. The elements in $\mathcal{H}$ take the form $a = a_0 + a_1i + a_2j + a_3k$, where $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathcal{R}$, which can simply be written as $a = \text{Re } a + \text{Im } a$, where $\text{Re } a = a_0$ and $\text{Im } a = a_1i + a_2j + a_3k$. The *conjugate* of a is defined to be $\bar{a} = a_0 - a_1i - a_2j - a_3k = \text{Re } a - \text{Im } a$, which satisfies $\bar{\bar{a}} = a$, $\overline{a+b} = \bar{a} + \bar{b}$ and $\overline{ab} = \bar{b}\bar{a}$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{H}$. The *norm* of a is defined to be $|a| = \sqrt{a\bar{a}} = \sqrt{\bar{a}a} = \sqrt{a_0^2 + a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2}$. Some basic operation properties on quaternions are listed below

$$a^2 - 2(\text{Re } a)a + |a|^2 = 0, \quad (\text{Im } a)^2 = -|\text{Im } a|^2, \quad (1.1)$$

$$|ab| = |a||b|, \quad (1.2)$$

$$a^{-1} = \frac{\bar{a}}{|a|^2}, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\text{Re}(ab) = \text{Re}(ba). \quad (1.4)$$

As for octonions, they can be defined by the Cayley-Dickson process as an extension of quaternions as follows

$$a = a' + a''e,$$

where $a', a'' \in \mathcal{H}$, and the addition and multiplication for any $a = a' + a''e$, $b = b' + b''e \in \mathcal{O}$ are

$$a + b = (a' + a''e) + (b' + b''e) = (a' + b') + (a'' + b'')e, \quad (1.5)$$

$$ab = (a' + a''e)(b' + b''e) = (a'b' - \bar{b}''a'') + (b''a' + a''\bar{b}')e. \quad (1.6)$$

In that case, $\mathcal{O}$ is spanned as an eight-dimensional non-associative but alternative division algebra over the real number field $\mathcal{R}$ with its canonical basis as follows

$$1, \quad e_1 = i, \quad e_2 = j, \quad e_3 = k, \quad e_4 = e, \quad e_5 = ie, \quad e_6 = je, \quad e_7 = ke. \quad (1.7)$$

The multiplication table for the basis can be derived from (1.6) (see, e.g., [16]), but we omit it here. In term of (1.7), all elements of $\mathcal{O}$ take the form

$$a = a_0 + a_1e_1 + \cdots + a_7e_7,$$

where $a_0, \dots, a_7 \in \mathcal{R}$, which can simply be written as $a = \text{Re } a + \text{Im } a$, where $\text{Re } a = a_0$. The *conjugate* of $a = a' + a''e$ is defined to be $\bar{a} = \overline{a'} - a''e = \text{Re } a - \text{Im } a$, which satisfies $\bar{\bar{a}} = a$, $\overline{a+b} = \bar{a} + \bar{b}$ and $\overline{ab} = \bar{b}\bar{a}$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{O}$. The *norm* of a is defined to be $|a| = \sqrt{a\bar{a}} = \sqrt{\bar{a}a} = \sqrt{a_0^2 + a_1^2 + \cdots + a_7^2}$. Some basic operation properties used in the sequel on octonions are listed below

$$a(ab) = a^2b, \quad (ba)a = ba^2, \quad (1.8)$$

$$(ab)a = a(ba) := aba, \quad (ab)a^{-1} = a(ba^{-1}) := aba^{-1}, \quad (1.9)$$

$$a^{-1} = \frac{\bar{a}}{|a|^2}, \quad (1.10)$$

$$a^2 - 2(\operatorname{Re} a)a + |a|^2 = 0, \quad (\operatorname{Im} a)^2 = -|\operatorname{Im} a|^2, \quad (1.11)$$

$$|ab| = |a||b|, \quad (1.12)$$

$$\operatorname{Re}(ab) = \operatorname{Re}(ba). \quad (1.13)$$

By the Cayley-Dickson process, the sedenion algebra $\mathcal{S}$ is an extension of the octonion algebra $\mathcal{O}$ with adding a new generator ε in it. The elements in $\mathcal{S}$ take the form

$$a = a' + a''\varepsilon,$$

where $a', a'' \in \mathcal{O}$, and the addition and multiplication for any $a = a' + a''\varepsilon$, $b = b' + b''\varepsilon \in \mathcal{S}$ are defined by

$$a + b = (a' + a''\varepsilon) + (b' + b''\varepsilon) = (a' + b') + (a'' + b'')\varepsilon, \quad (1.14)$$

$$ab = (a' + a''\varepsilon)(b' + b''\varepsilon) = (a'b' - \overline{b''}a'') + (b''a' + a''\overline{b'})\varepsilon. \quad (1.15)$$

In that case, $\mathcal{S}$ is spanned as a sixteen-dimensional nonassociative algebra over $\mathcal{R}$ with its canonical basis as follows

$$1, \quad e_1, \quad \dots, \quad e_7, \quad e_8 = \varepsilon, \quad e_9 = e_1\varepsilon, \quad \dots, \quad e_{15} = e_7\varepsilon, \quad (1.16)$$

where $1, e_1, \dots, e_7$ are the canonical basis of $\mathcal{O}$. The multiplication table for this basis can be found in [14]. In term of (1.16), all elements of $\mathcal{S}$ can be written as

$$a = a_0 + a_1e_1 + \dots + a_{15}e_{15},$$

where $a_0, \dots, a_{15} \in \mathcal{R}$, or simply $a = \operatorname{Re} a + \operatorname{Im} a$, where $\operatorname{Re} a = a_0$. The *conjugate* of a is defined to be $\overline{a} = \overline{a'} - a''\varepsilon = \operatorname{Re} a - \operatorname{Im} a$, which satisfies $\overline{\overline{a}} = a$ and $\overline{a+b} = \overline{a} + \overline{b}$, $\overline{ab} = \overline{b}\overline{a}$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{S}$. The *norm* of a is defined to be $|a| = \sqrt{a\overline{a}} = \sqrt{\overline{a}a} = \sqrt{a_0^2 + a_1^2 + \dots + a_{15}^2}$. It is well known that $\mathcal{S}$ is a non-commutative, non-alternative, non-composition and non-division algebra, but it is a power-associative, flexible and quadratic algebra over $\mathcal{R}$, namely,

$$aa^2 = a^2a, \quad a(aa^2) = a^2a^2, \quad (1.17)$$

$$(ab)a = a(ba) := aba, \quad (1.18)$$

$$a^2 - 2(\operatorname{Re} a)a + |a|^2 = 0, \quad (\operatorname{Im} a)^2 = -|\operatorname{Im} a|^2. \quad (1.19)$$

In addition

$$a^{-1} = \frac{\overline{a}}{|a|^2}, \quad (1.20)$$

$$\operatorname{Re}(ab) = \operatorname{Re}(ba), \quad (1.21)$$

$$(ab)\overline{a} = a(b\overline{a}) := ab\overline{a}, \quad (ab)a^{-1} = a(ba^{-1}) := aba^{-1}. \quad (1.22)$$

2. Similarity and consimilarity of quaternions

In this section, we first solve two basic equations $ax = xb$ and $ax = \bar{x}b$, and then introduce the concepts of similarity and consimilarity for elements in $\mathcal{H}$. In addition, we shall also present some interesting consequences.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $a = a_0 + a_1i + a_2j + a_3k$ and $b = b_0 + b_1i + b_2j + b_3k$ be two given quaternions. Then*

(a)[3] [18] *The linear equation*

$$ax = xb \quad (2.1)$$

has a nonzero solution for $x \in \mathcal{H}$ if and only if

$$a_0 = b_0, \quad \text{and} \quad |\operatorname{Im} a| = |\operatorname{Im} b|. \quad (2.2)$$

(b) *In that case, the general solution of Equation (2.1) is*

$$x = (\operatorname{Im} a)p + p(\operatorname{Im} b), \quad (2.3)$$

or equivalently $x = ap - p\bar{b}$, where $p \in \mathcal{H}$ is arbitrary.

(c) *In particular, if $b \neq \bar{a}$, i.e., $\operatorname{Im} a + \operatorname{Im} b \neq 0$, then Equation (2.1) has a solution as follows*

$$x = \lambda_1 (\operatorname{Im} a + \operatorname{Im} b) + \lambda_2 [|\operatorname{Im} a| |\operatorname{Im} b| - (\operatorname{Im} a)(\operatorname{Im} b)], \quad (2.4)$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathcal{R}$ are arbitrary. If $b = \bar{a}$, then the general solution to Equation (2.1) can be written as

$$x = x_1i + x_2j + x_3k, \quad (2.5)$$

where x_1, x_2 and x_3 satisfy $a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + a_3x_3 = 0$.

Proof. Assume first that (2.1) has a nonzero solution $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Then by (1.2) and (1.4) we find

$$ax = xb \implies |ax| = |xb| \implies |a||x| = |x||b| \implies |a| = |b|$$

and

$$ax = xb \implies a = xbx^{-1} \implies \operatorname{Re} a = \operatorname{Re}(xbx^{-1}) = \operatorname{Re}(bx^{-1}x) = \operatorname{Re} b,$$

which are equivalent to (2.2). Conversely, substituting (2.3) into $ax - xb$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ax - xb &= (a_0 + \operatorname{Im} a)[(\operatorname{Im} a)p + p(\operatorname{Im} b)] - [(\operatorname{Im} a)p + p(\operatorname{Im} b)](b_0 + \operatorname{Im} b) \\ &= (a_0 - b_0)x + (\operatorname{Im} a)^2p + (\operatorname{Im} a)p(\operatorname{Im} b) - (\operatorname{Im} a)p(\operatorname{Im} b) - p(\operatorname{Im} b)^2 \\ &= (a_0 - b_0)x + (|\operatorname{Im} b|^2 - |\operatorname{Im} a|^2)p. \end{aligned}$$

Under (2.2) the right-hand side of the above equality is zero. Thus (2.3) is a solution of (2.1).

Next suppose that x_0 is any solution of (2.1) under (2.2), and let

$$p = -\frac{(\operatorname{Im} a)x_0}{2|\operatorname{Im} a|^2} = -\frac{x_0(\operatorname{Im} b)}{2|\operatorname{Im} b|^2}.$$

Then (2.3) becomes

$$x = -\frac{(\operatorname{Im} a)^2 x_0}{2|\operatorname{Im} a|^2} - \frac{x_0(\operatorname{Im} b)^2}{2|\operatorname{Im} b|^2} = \frac{1}{2}x_0 + \frac{1}{2}x_0 = x_0,$$

which shows that any solution to (2.1) can be represented by (2.3). Thus (2.3) is the general solution of (2.1) under (2.2). If setting $p = 1$ in (2.3), then we know that $x_1 = \operatorname{Im} a + \operatorname{Im} b$ is a special solution to (2.1), and if setting $p = -\operatorname{Im} b$ in (2.3), then we get $x_2 = |\operatorname{Im} a| |\operatorname{Im} b| - (\operatorname{Im} a)(\operatorname{Im} b)$, another special solution to (2.1). Thus $x = \lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2$, where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathcal{R}$ are arbitrary, is also a solution to (2.1) under (2.2) and $b \neq \bar{a}$. If $b = \bar{a}$, then (2.1) is $ax = x\bar{a}$, namely

$$2(\operatorname{Re} x)(\operatorname{Im} a) + (\operatorname{Im} a)(\operatorname{Im} x) + (\operatorname{Im} x)(\operatorname{Im} a) = 0,$$

which is also equivalent to

$$\operatorname{Re} x = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + a_3 x_3 = 0.$$

Thus we have (2.5). $\square$

An equivalent statement for (2.1) to have a nonzero solution is that a and b are *similar*, which is written by $a \sim b$. A direct consequence of Theorem 2.1 is given below.

Corollary 2.2. *Let $a \in \mathcal{H}$ given with $a \notin \mathcal{R}$. Then the equation*

$$ax = x(\operatorname{Re} a + |\operatorname{Im} a|i) \tag{2.6}$$

always has a nonzero solution, namely, $a \sim \operatorname{Re} a + |\operatorname{Im} a|i$, and the general solution to Equation (2.6) is

$$x = (\operatorname{Im} a)p + |\operatorname{Im} a|pi, \tag{2.7}$$

where $p \in \mathcal{H}$ is arbitrary. In particular, if $a \notin \mathcal{C}$, then a solution of Equation (2.6) is

$$x = \lambda_1 [|\operatorname{Im} a|i + \operatorname{Im} a] + \lambda_2 [|\operatorname{Im} a| - (\operatorname{Im} a)i],$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathcal{R}$ are arbitrary. If $a = a_0 + a_1 i$ with $a_1 < 0$, then the general solution to (2.6) is

$$x = x_2 j + x_3 k, \quad \text{for all } x_2, x_3 \in \mathcal{R}.$$

Through (2.6), one can easily find out powers and n th roots of quaternions. This topic was previously examined in [2], [10] and [13].

Theorem 2.3. *Let $a = a_0 + a_1 i + a_2 j + a_3 k$ and $b = b_0 + b_1 i + b_2 j + b_3 k$ be two given quaternions. Then the equation*

$$ax = \bar{x}b \tag{2.8}$$

has a nonzero solution for $x \in \mathcal{H}$ if and only if

$$|a| = |b|. \tag{2.9}$$

In that case, if $a + \bar{b} \neq 0$, then Equation (2.8) has a solution as follows

$$x = \lambda(\bar{a} + b), \quad (2.10)$$

where $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}$ is arbitrary. If $a + \bar{b} = 0$, then the general solution to Equation (2.8) is can be written as

$$x = x_0 + x_1i + x_2j + x_3k, \quad (2.11)$$

where $x_0, \dots, x_3$ satisfy $a_0x_0 - a_1x_1 - a_2x_2 - a_3x_3 = 0$.

Proof. Suppose first that (2.8) has a nonzero solution $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Then by (1.2) we get

$$ax = xb \implies |ax| = |xb| \implies |a||x| = |x||b| \implies |a| = |b|.$$

Conversely, we let $x_1 = \bar{a} + b$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} ax_1 - \bar{x}_1b &= a(\bar{a} + b) - (a + \bar{b})b \\ &= a\bar{a} + ab - ab + \bar{b}b = |a|^2 - |b|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (2.10) is a solution to (2.8) under (2.9). If $a + \bar{b} = 0$, then (2.8) is equivalent to $ax + \bar{a}x = 0$, i.e., $\operatorname{Re}(ax) = 0$. Thus we have (2.11). $\square$

Based on the equation in (2.8) we can also extend the concept of consimilarity on complex matrices (see, e.g., [6]) to quaternions. Two quaternions a and b are said to be *consimilar* if there is a nonzero $p \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $a = \bar{p}bp^{-1}$. By Theorem 2.3, we immediately know that two quaternions are consimilar if and only if their norms are identical. Thus the consimilarity defined here is also an equivalence relation on quaternions.

Corollary 2.4. *Any quaternion a with $a \notin \mathcal{R}$ is consimilar to its norm, namely, $a = \bar{p}|a|p^{-1}$, where $p = |a| + \bar{a}$.*

Corollary 2.5. *Let $a, b \in \mathcal{H}$ be given with $a, b \notin \mathcal{R}$. Then $a|a|^{-1}$ and $b|b|^{-1}$ are consimilar.*

An interesting consequence of Corollary 2.4 is given below.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $a \in \mathcal{H}$ be given with $a \notin \mathcal{R}$. Then the quadratic equation $x^2 = a$ has two quaternion solutions as follows*

$$x = \pm \frac{|a|^{\frac{1}{2}}(|a| + a)}{||a| + a|} = \pm(\lambda_0 + \lambda_1a), \quad (2.12)$$

where $\lambda_0 = \frac{|a|^{\frac{3}{2}}}{||a| + a|}$ and $\lambda_1 = \frac{|a|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{||a| + a|}$.

Proof. By Corollary 2.4, we can write a as $a = |a|\bar{p}p^{-1}$, where $p = |a| + \bar{a}$. Thus by (1.3), we have

$$a = |a| \frac{\bar{p}^2}{|p|^2} = \left(|a|^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\bar{p}}{|p|} \right)^2,$$

which shows that the two quaternions in (2.12) are the solutions to $x^2 = a$. $\square$

The above result can also be restated that any quaternion a with $a \notin \mathcal{R}$ has two square roots as in (2.12). Based on the result in Corollary 2.6, solutions can also explicitly be derived for some other simple quadratic equations over $\mathcal{H}$, such as, $axa = b$, $x^2 + bx + xb + c = 0$ and $x^2 + xb + c = 0$ with $bc = cb$.

Based on the results in Theorem 2.1, we can also solve the quaternion equation $\bar{x}ax = b$, which was examined previously in [11].

Theorem 2.7. *Let $a, b \in \mathcal{H}$ be given with $a, b \notin \mathcal{R}$. Then the equation $\bar{x}ax = b$ is solvable if and only if there is a $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}$ with $\lambda > 0$ such that*

$$\operatorname{Re} a = \lambda \operatorname{Re} b, \quad \text{and} \quad |\operatorname{Im} a| = \lambda |\operatorname{Im} b|. \quad (2.13)$$

In that case, a solution to $\bar{x}ax = b$ can be written as

$$x = \frac{(\operatorname{Im} a)p + p(\operatorname{Im} b)}{\sqrt{\lambda} |(\operatorname{Im} a)p + p(\operatorname{Im} b)|}, \quad (2.14)$$

where $p \in \mathcal{H}$ is arbitrarily chosen such that $(\operatorname{Im} a)p + p(\operatorname{Im} b) \neq 0$.

Proof. Notice that $x^{-1} = \bar{x}/|x|^2$ for a nonzero quaternion x . Thus the equation $\bar{x}ax = b$ can equivalently be written as

$$ax = \frac{x}{|x|^2} b. \quad (2.15)$$

If (2.15) is solvable for x , then

$$\operatorname{Re} a = \frac{1}{|x|^2} \operatorname{Re} b, \quad \text{and} \quad |\operatorname{Im} a| = \frac{1}{|x|^2} |\operatorname{Im} b|$$

by Theorem 2.1(a), which implies (2.13). Conversely if (2.13) holds, it is easy to verify that (2.14) satisfies (2.15). $\square$

Without much effort, all the above results can be extended to the octonion algebra, which are given in the next section.

3. Similarity and consimilarity of octonions

Theorem 3.1. *Let $a = a_0 + a_1 e_1 + \cdots + a_7 e_7$ and $b = b_0 + b_1 e_1 + \cdots + b_7 e_7$ be two given octonions. Then*

(a) *The linear equation*

$$ax = xb \quad (3.1)$$

has a nonzero solution for $x \in \mathcal{O}$ if and only if

$$a_0 = b_0, \quad \text{and} \quad |\operatorname{Im} a| = |\operatorname{Im} b|. \quad (3.2)$$

(b) In that case, if $b \neq \bar{a}$, i.e., $\operatorname{Im} a + \operatorname{Im} b \neq 0$, then the general solution of Equation (3.1) can be expressed as

$$x = (\operatorname{Im} a)p + p(\operatorname{Im} b), \quad (3.3)$$

where p is arbitrarily chosen in $\mathcal{A}(a, b)$, the subalgebra generated by a and b . In particular, Equation (3.1) has a solution as follows

$$x = \lambda_1(\operatorname{Im} a + \operatorname{Im} b) + \lambda_2[|\operatorname{Im} a| |\operatorname{Im} b| - (\operatorname{Im} a)(\operatorname{Im} b)], \quad (3.4)$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathcal{R}$ are arbitrary.

(c) If $b = \bar{a}$, then the general solution of Equation (3.1) is

$$x = x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + \cdots + x_7 e_7, \quad (3.5)$$

where $x_1 \cdots x_7$ satisfy $a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + \cdots + a_7 x_7 = 0$.

Proof. Assume first that (3.1) has a nonzero solution $x \in \mathcal{O}$. Then by (1.8), (1.9) and (1.12), (1.13) we find

$$ax = xb \implies |ax| = |xb| \implies |a||x| = |x||b| \implies |a| = |b|$$

and

$$ax = xb \implies a = xbx^{-1} \implies \operatorname{Re} a = \operatorname{Re}(xbx^{-1}) = \operatorname{Re}(bx^{-1}x) = \operatorname{Re} b,$$

which are equivalent to (3.2). Conversely, note that $p \in \mathcal{A}(a, b)$ in (3.3). The products of a, b with p are associative. Thus x in (3.3) and a, b satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} ax - xb &= (a_0 + \operatorname{Im} a)[(\operatorname{Im} a)p + p(\operatorname{Im} b)] - [(\operatorname{Im} a)p + p(\operatorname{Im} b)](b_0 + \operatorname{Im} b) \\ &= (a_0 - b_0)x + (\operatorname{Im} a)^2 p + (\operatorname{Im} a)p(\operatorname{Im} b) - (\operatorname{Im} a)p(\operatorname{Im} b) - p(\operatorname{Im} b)^2 \\ &= (a_0 - b_0)x + (|\operatorname{Im} b|^2 - |\operatorname{Im} a|^2)p, \end{aligned}$$

Under (3.2) the right-hand sides of the above equality is zero. Thus (3.3) is a solution of (3.1). Next suppose that x_0 is any solution of (3.1) under (3.2), then it must belong to $\mathcal{A}(a, b)$ because (3.1) is linear. Now let

$$p = -\frac{(\operatorname{Im} a)x_0}{2|\operatorname{Im} a|^2} = -\frac{x_0(\operatorname{Im} b)}{2|\operatorname{Im} b|^2},$$

in (3.3). Then $p \in \mathcal{A}(a, b)$ and (3.3) becomes

$$x = -\frac{(\operatorname{Im} a)^2 x_0}{2|\operatorname{Im} a|^2} - \frac{x_0(\operatorname{Im} b)^2}{2|\operatorname{Im} b|^2} = \frac{1}{2}x_0 + \frac{1}{2}x_0 = x_0,$$

which shows that any solution to (3.1) can be represented by (3.3). Thus (3.3) is the general solution of (3.1) under (3.2). If setting $p = 1$ in (3.3), then we know that $x_1 = \operatorname{Im} a + \operatorname{Im} b$ is a special solution to (3.1), and if setting $p = -\operatorname{Im} b$ in (3.3), then we get $x_2 = |\operatorname{Im} a| |\operatorname{Im} b| - (\operatorname{Im} a)(\operatorname{Im} b)$, another special solution to (3.1). Thus $x = \lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2$, where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathcal{R}$ are

arbitrary, is also a solution to (3.1) under (3.2) and $b \neq \bar{a}$. If $b = \bar{a}$, then (3.1) is $ax = x\bar{a}$, namely

$$2(\operatorname{Re} x)(\operatorname{Im} a) + (\operatorname{Im} a)(\operatorname{Im} x) + (\operatorname{Im} x)(\operatorname{Im} a) = 0,$$

which is also equivalent to

$$\operatorname{Re} x = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + \cdots + a_7 x_7 = 0.$$

Thus we have (3.5). $\square$

We guess that (3.4) is equivalent to (3.3), but fail to give a satisfactory proof.

Based on the equation (3.1), we can define similarity of octonions. Two octonions a and b are said to be *similar* if there is a nonzero $p \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $a = p b p^{-1}$, which is written as $a \sim b$. By Theorem 3.1, we immediately know that two octonions are similar if and only if $\operatorname{Re} a = \operatorname{Re} b$ and $|\operatorname{Im} a| = |\operatorname{Im} b|$. Thus the similarity defined here is also an equivalence relation on octonions.

A direct consequence of Theorem 3.1 is given below.

Corollary 3.2. *For any $a \in \mathcal{O}$ with $a \notin \mathcal{C}$, the equation*

$$ax = x(\operatorname{Re} a + |\operatorname{Im} a|i) \tag{3.6}$$

always has a nonzero solution, namely, $a \sim \operatorname{Re} a + |\operatorname{Im} a|i$, and a solution to Equation (3.6) is

$$x = \lambda_1(|\operatorname{Im} a|i + \operatorname{Im} a) + \lambda_2[|\operatorname{Im} a| - (\operatorname{Im} a)i] \quad \text{for all } \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathcal{R}.$$

In particular, if $a = a_0 + a_1 i$ with $a_1 < 0$, then the general solution to (3.6) is

$$x = x_2 e_2 + \cdots + x_7 e_7, \quad \text{for all } x_2, \dots, x_7 \in \mathcal{R}.$$

The result in (3.6) can also be written as $a = x(\operatorname{Re} a + |\operatorname{Im} a|i)x^{-1}$. Through it one can easily find out powers and n th roots of octonions.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $a = a_0 + a_1 e_1 + \cdots + a_7 e_7$ and $b = b_0 + b_1 e_1 + \cdots + b_7 e_7$ be two given octonions. Then the equation*

$$ax = \bar{x}b \tag{3.7}$$

has a nonzero solution for $x \in \mathcal{O}$ if and only if

$$|a| = |b|. \tag{3.8}$$

In that case, if $a + \bar{b} \neq 0$, then (3.7) has a solution as follows

$$x = \lambda(\bar{a} + b), \tag{3.9}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}$ is arbitrary. In particular, if $a + \bar{b} = 0$, i.e., $a_0 + b_0 = 0$ and $\text{Im } a = \text{Im } b$, then the general solution to (3.6) is

$$x = x_0 + x_1 e_1 + \cdots + x_7 e_7, \quad (3.10)$$

where $x_0, \dots, x_7$ satisfy $a_0 x_0 - a_1 x_1 - \cdots - a_7 x_7 = 0$.

Proof. Suppose first that (3.7) has a nonzero solution $x \in \mathcal{O}$. Then by (1.12) we get

$$ax = xb \implies |ax| = |xb| \implies |a||x| = |x||b| \implies |a| = |b|.$$

Conversely, we let $x_1 = \bar{a} + b$. Then

$$ax_1 - \bar{x}_1 b = a(\bar{a} + b) - (a + \bar{b})b = a\bar{a} + ab - ab + \bar{b}b = |a|^2 - |b|^2.$$

Thus (3.9) is a solution to (3.7) under (3.8). If $a + \bar{b} = 0$, then (3.7) is equivalent to $ax + \bar{a}x = 0$, i.e., $\text{Re}(ax) = 0$. Thus we have (3.10). $\square$

Based on the equation in (3.7) we can also define the consimilarity of octonions. Two octonions a and b are said to be *consimilar* if there is a nonzero $p \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $a = \bar{p}bp^{-1}$. By Theorem 3.3, we immediately know that two octonions are consimilar if and only if their norms are identical. Thus the consimilarity defined here is also an equivalence relation on octonions.

Corollary 3.4. Any octonion a with $a \notin \mathcal{R}$ is consimilar to its norm, namely, $a = \bar{p}|a|p^{-1}$, where $p = |a| + \bar{a}$.

Corollary 3.5. Let $a, b \in \mathcal{O}$ be given with $a, b \notin \mathcal{R}$. Then $a|a|^{-1}$ and $b|b|^{-1}$ are consimilar.

Corollary 3.6. Let $a \in \mathcal{O}$ be given with $a \notin \mathcal{R}$. Then the quadratic equation $x^2 = a$ has two octonion solutions as follows

$$x = \pm \frac{|a|^{\frac{1}{2}}(|a| + a)}{||a| + a|} = \pm(\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 a), \quad (3.11)$$

where $\lambda_0 = \frac{|a|^{\frac{3}{2}}}{||a| + a|}$ and $\lambda_1 = \frac{|a|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{||a| + a|}$.

Proof. Follows from Corollary 3.4. $\square$

Based on the result in Corollary 3.6, solutions can also be found for some other quadratic equations over $\mathcal{O}$, such as, $axx = b$, $x^2 + bx + xb + c = 0$ and $x^2 + xb + c = 0$ with $c \in \mathcal{A}(b)$, the subalgebra generated by b .

Theorem 3.7. Let $a, b \in \mathcal{O}$ be given with $a, b \notin \mathcal{R}$. Then the equation $\bar{x}ax = b$ is solvable if and only if there is a $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}$ with $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\text{Re } a = \lambda \text{Re } b, \quad \text{and} \quad |\text{Im } a| = \lambda |\text{Im } b|. \quad (3.12)$$

In that case, a solution to $\bar{x}ax = b$ can be written as

$$x = \frac{(\operatorname{Im} a)p + p(\operatorname{Im} b)}{\sqrt{\lambda} |(\operatorname{Im} a)p + p(\operatorname{Im} b)|}, \quad (3.13)$$

where $p \in \mathcal{A}(a, b)$ is arbitrarily chosen such that $(\operatorname{Im} a)p + p(\operatorname{Im} b) \neq 0$.

Proof. Notice that $x^{-1} = \bar{x}/|x|^2$ for a nonzero quaternion x . Thus the equation $\bar{x}ax = b$ can equivalently be written as

$$ax = \frac{x}{|x|^2}b. \quad (3.14)$$

If (3.14) is solvable for x , then

$$\operatorname{Re} a = \frac{1}{|x|^2} \operatorname{Re} b, \quad \text{and} \quad |\operatorname{Im} a| = \frac{1}{|x|^2} |\operatorname{Im} b|$$

by Theorem 3.1(a), which implies (3.12). Conversely if (3.12) holds, it is easy to verify that (3.13) satisfies (3.14). $\square$

4. Similarity and consimilarity of sedenions

The results in the above two sections can partly be extended to the sedenion algebra $\mathcal{S}$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $a = a_0 + a_1e_1 + \cdots + a_{15}e_{15}$ and $b = b_0 + b_1e_1 + \cdots + b_{15}e_{15}$ be two given sedenions. If

$$a_0 = b_0, \quad \text{and} \quad |\operatorname{Im} a| = |\operatorname{Im} b|, \quad (4.1)$$

and $b \neq \bar{a}$, then the linear equation

$$ax = xb \quad (4.2)$$

has a solution as follows

$$x = \lambda(\operatorname{Im} a + \operatorname{Im} b), \quad (4.3)$$

where $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}$ is arbitrary. If $b = \bar{a}$, then the general solution to Equation (4.2) is

$$x = x_1e_1 + x_2e_2 + \cdots + x_{15}e_{15}, \quad (4.4)$$

where $x_1, \dots, x_{15}$ satisfy $a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \cdots + a_{15}x_{15} = 0$.

Proof. Let $x_1 = \operatorname{Im} a + \operatorname{Im} b$. Then it is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} ax_1 - x_1b &= (a_0 + \operatorname{Im} a)(\operatorname{Im} a + \operatorname{Im} b) - (\operatorname{Im} a + \operatorname{Im} b)(b_0 + \operatorname{Im} b) \\ &= (a_0 - b_0)x_1 + (\operatorname{Im} a)^2 + (\operatorname{Im} a)(\operatorname{Im} b) - (\operatorname{Im} a)(\operatorname{Im} b) - (\operatorname{Im} b)^2 \\ &= (a_0 - b_0)x_1 + |\operatorname{Im} b|^2 - |\operatorname{Im} a|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Under (4.1), the right-hand side of the above equality is zero. Thus (4.3) is a nonzero solution of (4.2). If $b = \bar{a}$, then (4.2) is $ax = x\bar{a}$, namely

$$2(\operatorname{Re} x)(\operatorname{Im} a) + (\operatorname{Im} a)(\operatorname{Im} x) + (\operatorname{Im} x)(\operatorname{Im} a) = 0,$$

which is also equivalent to

$$\operatorname{Re} x = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \cdots + a_{15}x_{15} = 0.$$

Thus we have (4.4). $\square$

Since $\mathcal{S}$ is not a division algebra, it may occur that there is a nonzero $x \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $ax = 0$ and $xb = 0$ for some $a, b \in \mathcal{S}$. Thus (4.1) is not a necessary condition for (4.2) to have a nonzero solution. Besides, since $\mathcal{S}$ is non-alternative, the sedenion $x_2 = |\operatorname{Im} a| |\operatorname{Im} b| - (\operatorname{Im} a)(\operatorname{Im} b)$ is no longer a solution to (4.2) under (4.1). Nevertheless, the similarity concept can reasonably be established for elements in $\mathcal{S}$, according to the result in Theorem 4.1 as follows: two sedenions a and b are said to be *similar* if $\operatorname{Re} a = \operatorname{Re} b$ and $|\operatorname{Im} a| = |\operatorname{Im} b|$. Clearly the similarity defined above is also an equivalence relation for elements in $\mathcal{S}$. Moreover, we still have the following.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $a \in \mathcal{S}$ given with $a \notin \mathcal{C}$. Then a is similar to the complex number $\operatorname{Re} a + |\operatorname{Im} a|i$ and both of them satisfy the equality*

$$ax = x(\operatorname{Re} a + |\operatorname{Im} a|i), \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$x = \lambda(|\operatorname{Im} a|i + \operatorname{Im} a), \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{R}.$$

In particular, if $a = a_0 + a_1i$ with $a_1 < 0$, then the general solution to (4.5) is

$$x = x_2e_2 + \cdots + x_{15}e_{15}, \quad \text{for all} \quad x_2, \dots, x_{15} \in \mathcal{R}.$$

Theorem 4.3. *Let $a = a_0 + a_1e_1 + \cdots + a_{15}e_{15}$ and $b = b_0 + b_1e_1 + \cdots + b_{15}e_{15}$ be two given sedenions. If*

$$|a| = |b|, \quad (4.6)$$

and $a + \bar{b} \neq 0$, then the equation

$$ax = \bar{x}b \quad (4.7)$$

has a solution as follows

$$x = \lambda(\bar{a} + b), \quad (4.8)$$

where $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}$ is arbitrary. If $a + \bar{b} = 0$, then the general solution to (4.7) is

$$x = x_0 + x_1e_1 + \cdots + x_{15}e_{15}, \quad (4.9)$$

where $x_0, \dots, x_{15}$ satisfy $a_0x_0 - a_1x_1 - \cdots - a_{15}x_{15} = 0$.

Proof. Let $x_1 = \bar{a} + b$. Then

$$ax_1 - \bar{x}_1b = a(\bar{a} + b) - (a + \bar{b})b = a\bar{a} + ab - ab + \bar{b}b = |a|^2 - |b|^2.$$

Thus (4.8) is a solution to (4.7) under (4.6). If $a + \bar{b} = 0$, then (4.7) is equivalent to $ax + \overline{ax} = 0$, i.e., $\text{Re}(ax) = 0$. Thus we have (4.9). $\square$

Since $\mathcal{S}$ is not a division algebra, it may occur that there is a nonzero $x \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $ax = 0$ and $\bar{x}b = 0$ for certain $a, b \in \mathcal{S}$. Thus (4.6) is not a necessary condition for (4.7) to have a nonzero solution. Nevertheless, we can reasonably introduce consimilarity concept for elements in $\mathcal{S}$ as follows: two sedenions a and b are said to be *consimilar* if $|a| = |b|$. In that case, there is, by Theorem 4.3, an $x \neq 0$ such that $ax = \bar{x}b$. Moreover, we still have the following two results.

Corollary 4.4. *Any sedenion $a \in \mathcal{S}$ with $a \notin \mathcal{R}$ is consimilar to its norm $|a|$, and both of them satisfies the following equality*

$$a = \bar{p}|a|p^{-1}, \quad (4.10)$$

where $p = |a| + \bar{a}$.

Proof. Follows from a direct verification. $\square$

Theorem 4.5. *Let $a \in \mathcal{S}$ given with $a \notin \mathcal{R}$. Then the quadratic equation $x^2 = a$ has two sedenion solutions as follows*

$$x = \pm \frac{|a|^{\frac{1}{2}}(|a| + a)}{|a| + a} = \pm(\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 a),$$

where $\lambda_0 = \frac{|a|^{\frac{3}{2}}}{|a| + a}$ and $\lambda_1 = \frac{|a|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|a| + a}$.

Correspondingly, solutions can also derived to the sedenion equations $x^2 + bx + xb + c = 0$ and $x^2 + xb + c = 0$ with $c \in \mathcal{A}(b)$, the subalgebra generated by b . But the solution of $xxa = b$ can not be derived from Theorem 4.5, because $xxa = b$, in general, is not equivalent to $(ax)(ax) = ab$ over $\mathcal{S}$.

Remarks. As is well known(see, e.g., [1], [4], [8], [15], [16], [17]), the real Cayley-Dickson algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$ when $n \geq 4$ is inductively defined by adding a new generator τ in $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}$. In that case, the elements in $\mathcal{A}_n$ take form

$$a = a' + a''\tau,$$

where $a', a'' \in \mathcal{A}_{n-1}$. The *conjugate* a is defined to be

$$\bar{a} = \overline{a'} - a''\tau = \text{Re } a - \text{Im } a.$$

The *norm* of a is defined to be

$$|a| = \sqrt{a\bar{a}} = \sqrt{\bar{a}a} = \sqrt{|a'|^2 + |a''|^2}.$$

The addition and multiplication for any $a = a' + a''\tau$, $b = b' + b''\tau \in \mathcal{A}_n$ are

$$a + b = (a' + a''\tau) + (b' + b''\tau) = (a' + b') + (a'' + b'')\tau,$$

$$ab = (a' + a''\tau)(b' + b''\tau) = (a'b' - \overline{b''}a'') + (b''a' + a''\overline{b'})\tau.$$

In that case, $\mathcal{A}_n$ is spanned as a 2^n -dimensional non-commutative, non-alternative, non-composition and non-division algebra over $\mathcal{R}$, but it is still a power-associative, flexible and quadratic algebra over $\mathcal{R}$ when $n \geq 4$. The algebraic properties of $\mathcal{A}_n$ with $n \geq 4$ are much similar to those of $\mathcal{A}_4 = \mathcal{S}$. Therefore the results in Section 4 can directly be extended to elements in $\mathcal{A}_n$. For simplicity, we do not intend to list them here.

References

- [1] S. S. Althoen and J. F. Weidner, Real division algebras and Dickson construction, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 48(1978), 369-371.
- [2] L. Brand, The roots of a quaternion, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 49(1942), 519-520.
- [3] J. L. Brenner, Matrices of quaternions, *Pacific J. Math.* 1(1951), 329-335.
- [4] R. B. Brown, On generalized Cayley-Dickson algebras, *Pacific J. Math.* 20(1967), 415-422.
- [5] T. Dray and C. A. Manogue, The octonionic eigenvalue problem, *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras* 8(1998), 342-364.
- [6] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge U. P., New York, 1985.
- [7] P. R. Michael, Quaternionic linear and quadratic equations, *J. Natur. Geom.* 11(1997), 101-106.
- [8] G. Moreno, The zero divisors of the Cayley-Dickson algebras over the real numbers, *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana(3)* 4(1998), 13-28.
- [9] I. Niven, Equations in quaternions, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 48(1941), 654-661.
- [10] I. Niven, The roots of a quaternion, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 49(1942), 386-388.
- [11] B. Pollak, The equation $\bar{t}at = b$ in quaternion algebra, *Duke Math. J.* 27(1960), 261-271.
- [12] I. R. Porteous, *Clifford Algebras and the Classical Groups*, Cambridge U. P., Cambridge, 1995.
- [13] H. E. Salzer, An elementary note on powers of quaternions, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 59(1952), 298-300.
- [14] L. Sorgsepp and J. Lohmus, Binary and ternary sedenions, *Hadronic J.* 4(1981), 327-353.
- [15] R. D. Schafer, On the algebras formed by the Cayley-Dickson process, *Amer. J. Math.* 76(1954), 435-446.
- [16] R. D. Schafer, *An Introduction to Nonassociative Algebras*, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [17] G. P. Wene, Construction relating Clifford algebras and Cayley-Dickson algebras, *J. Math. Phys.* 25(1984), 2351-2353.
- [18] F. Zhang, Quaternions and matrices of quaternions, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 251(1997), 21-57.